Digital and Technology-Enhanced ELT Materials

Masyita Nurfadillah, Nur Rohman
Master's Program of English Language Education, Faculty of Languages and Arts, Universitas Negeri Semarang
masyitanurfadillah98@students.unnes.ac.id
DOI: https://doi.org/10.15294/eltlt.v1i1.611
QRCBN 62-6861-2530-756

ABSTRACT
In this digital and connected age of rapidly proliferating technologies and globalization, education now requires equipping learners with 21st-century skills such as digital literacy, critical thinking, and collaboration. It is now also the trend that in English Language Teaching (ELT), traditional methods have ceased to be sufficient in providing authentic exposure to learning in the mainstream classroom. Consequently, the integration of digital and technology-assisted multimedia materials in ELT has become mainstream. Such a transformation, from teacher-led lessons to hands-on learning and from solitary classrooms to interconnected global networks, is based on student-centered and interactive learning theories. Teachers are at the heart of developing context-specific content in their curriculum, incorporating blended learning, and fostering a lifelong evolution of information literacy and digital skills. There is still much work to be done, of course. Still, government initiatives and professional development programs are among the solutions being explored to address infrastructure gaps, teacher readiness, and data privacy. Digital and technology-enhanced ELT materials not only enhance learners' participation, flexibility, and engagement in their learning but also equip them with the skills necessary to engage effectively in a digitally connected world, making their integration essential for future use in language education.

Keywords: English Language Teaching (ELT), Technology-Enhanced Learning, 21st-Century Skills, Digital Literacy, Digital Transformation

INTRODUCTION

Driven by the rapid evolution of digital technology, globalization, and shifts in the workplace, the domain of English Language Teaching (ELT) has undergone a radical transformation in the 21st century (Mishra & Koehler, 2006; Pujiati, 2023). However, traditional classroom instruction remains essential, but it is increasingly viewed as insufficient to provide learners with the authentic language exposure and skill sets necessary for academic, professional, and social success. We are in an era of advanced language learning. Young students today need not only language skills but also digital literacy, critical thinking, and collaboration, which means successfully practicing honest communication in different modalities (Wiggins, 2005). This means that the strategic integration of technology- and digitally enhanced tools into ELT curricula is no longer optional, but somewhat obligatory. However, this integration should not only include the use of tools but also demand an organized reconceptualization of curriculum, pedagogy, testing, and teacher practice. The essay reviews the integration of the two, its background, theory, tools, the problems and benefits of their use, evidence-based suggestions, and perspectives that will be adopted to cultivate meaningful communication in digitally connected worlds.

Foundations of Digital and Technology-Enhanced ELT

Digital and Technology-Enhanced ELT refers to the intentional integration of digital tools, platforms, and resources into language education programs, used in conjunction with textbooks and students, to design personalized, interactive, and student-centered learning experiences. It is more than just replacing standard material; this is an entirely different model in which technology is leveraged to improve language learning, empower it as an active pursuit, and form 21st-century competencies (Warschauer, 2000). This field is commonly referred to as Technology-Enhanced Language Learning

(TELL). It utilizes computer-mediated materials to support every aspect of language learning, including vocabulary, grammar, pronunciation, and communicative skills.

Technology-enhanced materials have several intrinsic properties: Interactive and engaging features (Gamification & Multimedia), accessible and flexible (asynchronous, self-paced learning), able to cater to personalized and adaptive needs (Algorithmic Learning Pathways); Access to Authentic Materials (News & Social Media), Instant and Detailed Feedback, Facilitated Global Connectivity & Collaboration, and Data and Learning Analytics (driven by Technology to drive a curriculum based on knowledge).

The development of this topic is rooted in Computer-Assisted Language Learning (CALL) in the 1980s. However, modern TELL is characterized by advanced, easy-to-use, and mobile-cloud tools that leverage these applications, Artificial Intelligence (AI), and an increasing emphasis on authentic student communication and learner autonomy. The COVID-19 pandemic provided an enormous impetus, driving innovation in the delivery of online and blended education, which led to stronger and more pedagogically sound applications (Reimers & Schleicher, 2020).

Theoretical Frameworks for Technology Integration

The following sound pedagogical frameworks guide the effective integration of new technology, preventing us from merely adopting it without consideration. According to Mishra and Koehler's (2006) Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework successful technology integration lies at the intersection of three domains of knowledge: Content Knowledge (CK), of English Linguistics; Pedagogical Knowledge (PK), of teaching principles (the best pedagogy that works); and Technological Knowledge (TK), or the technology of today's digital tooling. The interaction results in the development of other knowledge types, including Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK), Technological Content Knowledge (TCK), and Technological Pedagogical Knowledge (TPK). The overall aim is to integrate TPACK with the capacity to use technologies judiciously and effectively to deliver

content in an appropriate pedagogy. Indonesian contexts demonstrate that while competence in specific domains exists, the capability level in integrated TPACK remains challenging and requires continuous professional learning (Ahmad et al., 2024).

The SAMR Model: Puentedura's SAMR model offers a hierarchy for assessing the extent of technology integration: Substitution (direct tool replacement, no functional change), Augmentation (replacement of the same tool with a functionally enhanced version), Modification (major redesign of the task), and Redefinition (forming new items you could not otherwise imagine). The lowest levels (S, A) are associated with enhancement, while the highest levels (M, R) are associated with transformation. In many contexts (including Indonesian universities), technology use has been mainly at Substitution and Augmentation, with little application to transformational Modification and Redefinition levels as highlighted by their studies (Hamilton et al., 2016; Ahmad et al., 2024).

Backward Design (Understanding by Design): Drawing an example from Wiggins and McTighe's (2005) framework, the authors propose designing curriculum at a base level, as long as the desired learning outcome is identified, following the assessment of evidence, and planning learning activities. That way, technology serves clear pedagogical purposes and is not an end in itself. It facilitates alignment between objectives, assessment, and instruction, ultimately supporting learning gains.

Multiliteracies Pedagogy: Originating in the New London Group (1996), Multiliteracies Pedagogy seeks to extend literacy to not only language but to critical reading and production of multimodal texts (linguistic, visual, audio, spatial, gestural). In technology-enhanced ELT, it helps learners to critically analyze media, design digital multimodal products, enhance intercultural competency, and develop digital citizenship skills. Studies have demonstrated its effectiveness in improving both multimodal competence and English proficiency.

Digital Tools and Platforms for ELT

An ecosystem of instruments and platforms serves a

variety of goals in ELT; the following are grouped to serve different purposes:

- Comprehensive language learning platforms (Duolingo, Quizlet, Kahoot) for vocabulary and simple practice.
- E-Learning Systems (e.g., Google Classroom, Moodle) for the delivery and organization of lessons.
- ChatGPT, Praktika, and others are examples of conversational AI-powered partners for genuine speaking practice and feedback.
- Multimedia Creation Tools (Think: Canva, iMovie) for student creation of digital content.
- Video and Audio Platforms (for example, YouTube, TED Talks, and podcasts) for genuine listening and cultural exposure.
- Collaborative Web Tools (Google Docs, Padlet, etc.) for live collaboration.
- Virtual classrooms (e.g., Zoom, Google Meet) for synchronous distance instruction.
- Real-world language sources include authentic material databases, such as news sites and online libraries.

This is an unprecedented move in the integration of AI and large language models (LLMs), such as GPT-4. Tools such as Duolingo Max now offer features like "Explain My Answer" for personalized error explanations, "Roleplay" for contextual conversational practice, and adaptive difficulty adjustment, among others. These offer multimodal feedback and have never been achieved before, but require more precision and human intervention to control.

Lastly, Blended Learning and the flipped classroom are best-practice examples to consider. Blended learning strategically combines synchronous and asynchronous modes for instructional purposes, often yielding better outcomes than traditional or e-learning approaches. The "Flipped classroom" model, in which content is taught outside of class (e.g., in videos) and the class time is spent on interactive practices,

enables us to make the most of our face-to-face time for communicative activities, differentiation, and to let learner independence flourish. The effectiveness of its research in ELT contexts on speaking skills and student motivation is shown (Rahmah, 2024; Asrilawaly, 2025).

Advantages and Challenges

Technology in ELT has significant potential but also substantial challenges. The following are the advantages and challenges that might be found.

The advantages, among others, are as follows:

- Improved Engagement and Motivation: Materials that are interactive, gamified, and incorporate multimedia can stimulate student engagement and motivation (Gee, 2003; Kapp, 2012).
- Personalized and Adaptive Learning: Technology enables the individualized use of pedagogical tools tailored to each learner's pace, style, and proficiency, facilitating differentiated learning.
- Authentic Communication: Exposing learners to global peers and real-world language materials fosters the genuine use of language and promotes cross-cultural awareness (Warschauer, 2000).
- The development of 21st-century skills: Digital tools intrinsically promote the practice of critical thinking, collaboration, creativity, and digital literacy alongside language learning (Pujiati, 2023; Hanif, 2024).
- Efficient Assessment and Feedback: Automated quizzes, learning analytics, and AI-driven feedback provide immediate, detailed evidence of learners' progress and support targeted interventions (Siemens, 2013).
- Support for learner autonomy: Technology facilitates self-directed learning, goal setting, and reflection, enabling learners to take ownership of their education.

Meanwhile, the challenges, among others, are as follows:

- Digital Divide and Equity Concerns: Disparities in access to technology and reliable internet connection between socio-economic groups and urban-rural areas risk widening educational inequalities (Aker & Mbiti, 2010).

- Teacher Preparedness and Development of TPACK: Without being technologically skilled, the development of effective TPACK by many teachers also creates a persistent challenge (Koehler & Mishra, 2009).
- Infrastructure and Resource Constraints: Schools, particularly those with limited resources in certain parts of the world, often lack sufficient devices, software, or technical support (Khan et al., 2012).
- Time and workload constraints: Teachers often face additional challenges in planning technology-integrated lessons, administering digital platforms, and providing online feedback.
- Ethical and privacy issues: Collection and use of student data raise serious questions about privacy, security, consent, and the potential for algorithmic bias (Bolukbasi et al., 2016).
- Cultural Responsiveness and Localization: Multinational tools may not align directly with local cultural contexts, values, or curricula.
- Risk of digital distraction and over-reliance: Technology can distract from teaching language goals and, if overused, may result in less essential human interaction in the language classroom.

Solutions

Solutions to addressing these challenges are multifaceted and systemic, based on research and best practices. For curriculum design, start with a systematic backward design process, setting specific learning objectives and utilizing technological support that aligns with them. Focus on a learner-centered pedagogy and the inclusion of 21st-century skills. Curriculum needs to be contextual: using offline-first and mobile-first solutions for rural communities (Aker & Mbiti, 2010); using LMS and automated feedback for high-volume classes; and project-based learning/social media for secondary school students.

In Support of Professional Development (TPACK Development): Invest in continuous, end-to-end teacher training beyond technical specialization. Professional learning

should include pedagogical design workshops, the use of reflection based on SAMR to promote transformative uses, co-designed lessons, and assistance with action research (Birman et al., 2000; Dana & Yendol-Hoppey, 2008). It is essential to foster peer learning communities and provide timely support.

For Equity and Access: Policy and investment in equitable infrastructure should be advocated. Employ mobile-first principles and low-bandwidth design, OER to save the costs of materials (Wiley & Hilton, 2009), and offline functional materials. Create access points for community technology.

For Ethical Implementation: Develop and carry out robust data privacy and security measures. To achieve this, organizations can ensure informed consent, minimize data input, provide users with control over their data, and strive to minimize algorithmic bias in their tools (Bolukbasi et al., 2016). Standardize professional guidelines for the ethical application of educational technology.

For Sustainable Implementation: Encouraging blended models that blend technology with critical human interactions. Apply systems thinking to evaluate learning and learning outcomes, student engagement, and fidelity of implementation, utilizing data to inform ongoing iteration. Develop local expertise through the development of organizational capacity and ensure financial sustainability beyond the initial grants (Graham et al., 2013).

For pedagogical practice: Design activities that harness the distinct affordances of technology: authentic communication activities (e.g., global pen pals, digital storytelling); collaborative knowledge acquisition (e.g., group projects using Google Docs); multimodal production (e.g., production of videos/podcasts); gamified learning with specific objectives. Utilize authentic performance assessments (e.g., digital portfolios) and formative assessment tools that incorporate learning analytics.

CONCLUSION

The introduction of digital and tech-assisted materials in ELT is a radical repositioning of language education for the digital age. When built on good pedagogical practices, including

TPACK, SAMR, backward planning, and attention to multiliteracies, technology can make a significant difference in engagement, personalization, authenticity, and the acquisition of essential 21st-century skills. There is evidence from one case study to the next of integrated learning at higher secondary schools; an education enhanced through AI in low-resource settings, and student multiliteracies initiatives in higher education, showing that language learning increases proficiency, motivation, and autonomy.

Yet technology is not a panacea. Its success depends on good pedagogic design, skilled and supported teachers, and a tenacious interest in equity and ethics. It also includes fundamental principles for success: begin by focusing on learning, not technology; capitalize on technology's distinct affordances; integrate human-digital work; engage in teacher capacity building; and continually assess impact.

Policy makers need to allocate resources to equitable infrastructures and support-based policies, and administrators must contribute to a new vision and an innovative culture of support for innovation, as well as a shared vision and an open culture of vision for supporting innovation. Teachers will continue to develop as the focus of professional and critical practice, and reflective practice is what is needed. Similarly, the role and effectiveness of researchers will continue to be explored in varied settings, with evidence-based models.

Finally, yes, the future of ELT will be quite technological, but the central aspect is still mostly human: it allows meaningful speaking, understanding between cultures, and constant learning. When used effectively, technology is an incredible tool that helps the human bonds at the base of education to be magnified and extended. By maintaining this approach, educators can ensure that digital and technology-enhanced ELT, in general, supports efforts in ELT that contribute to the development of individuals and global dialogue.

REFERENCES

Ahmad, A., Junaidi, J., & Yusriadi, Y. (2024). Technology integration in English language learning based on the TPACK-SAMR framework at three Indonesian universities. *Indonesian Journal of English Education*, *12*(4), 345-367. https://journal.uinjkt.ac.id/ijee/article/view/37996

Aker, J. C., & Mbiti, I. M. (2010). Mobile phones and economic development in Africa. *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *24*(3), 207-232.

Asrilawaly, S. (2025). Designing video-based speaking materials to promote learner autonomy in EFL flipped classrooms. *Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, *15*(7), 234-256. https://ojspanel.undikma.ac.id/index.php/jollt/article/view/15022

Birman, B. F., Desimone, L., Porter, A. C., & Garet, M. S. (2000). Designing professional development that works. *Educational Leadership*, *57*(8), 28-33.

Bolukbasi, T., Chang, K. W., Zou, J. Y., Saligrama, V., & Kalai, A. T. (2016). Man is to computer programmer as woman is to homemaker? Debiasing word embeddings. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 4349-4357.

Dana, N. F., & Yendol-Hoppey, D. (2008). *The reflective educator's guide to classroom research: Learning to teach and teaching to learn through practitioner inquiry* (2nd ed.). Corwin Press.

Gee, J. P. (2003). What video games have to teach us about learning and literacy. *Educational Leadership*, *60*(4), 50-54.

Graham, C. R., Woodfield, W., & Harrison, J. B. (2013). A framework for institutional adoption and implementation of blended learning in higher education. *Internet and Higher Education*, 18, 4-14.

Hamilton, R., Rosenberg, J. M., & Akcaoglu, M. (2016). The substitution augmentation modification redefinition (SAMR) model: A critical review and suggestions for its use. *TechTrends*, *60*(5), 433-441.

Hanif, H. (2024). Empowering EFL learners: The impact of digital literacy in language education. *Journal of*

Language and Learning, *8*(3), 156-173.

Kapp, K. M. (2012). *The gamification of learning and instruction: Game-based methods and strategies for training and education*. Jossey-Bass.

Khan, M. S. H., Hasan, M., & Clement, C. K. (2012). Barriers to the introduction of information and communication technology into secondary schools in Bangladesh. *Journal of Education and Practice*, *3*(14), 1-8.

Koehler, M. J., & Mishra, P. (2009). What is technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK)? *Journal of Education*, *193*(3), 60-70.

Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record*, *108*(6), 1017-1054. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9620.2006.00684.x

New London Group. (1996). A pedagogy of multiliteracies: Designing social futures. *Harvard Educational Review*, *66*(1), 60-92.

Pujiati, H. (2023). Integrating 21st century skills in curriculum and material development. *Journal of University of Negeri Jakarta*, 43, 112-129. https://journal.unj.ac.id/unj/index.php/stairs/article/view/43713

Rahmah, N. J. (2024). Flipped classroom approach in developing English communication skills. *Journal of Technology in Education*, 2024, 78-92. https://jurnal.untag-banyuwangi.ac.id/index.php/j-tech/article/view/436

Reimers, F. M., & Schleicher, A. (2020). A framework to guide an education response to the COVID-19 pandemic of 2020. *OECD Education Working Papers*, 224, 1-41.

Siemens, G. (2013). Learning analytics: The emergence of a discipline. *American Behavioral Scientist*, *57*(10), 1380-1400.

Warschauer, M. (2000). *Network-based language teaching: Concepts and practice*. Cambridge University Press.

Wiggins, G., & McTighe, J. (2005). *Understanding by design* (2nd ed.). ASCD.

Wiley, D., & Hilton, J. L. (2009). Openness and education: The power of open. *International Review of Research in Open*

and Distance Learning, *10*(2), 1-13.